

Irreducible factors of $x^{p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2}} - 1$ over F_l

Kulvir Singh

Department of Mathematics, MNS Government College,
 Bhiwani (India) Email: kulvirsheoran@yahoo.com

Abstract

Let p_1, p_2 and l be distinct odd primes and let l be a primitive root modulo $p_i^{\alpha_i}$ with $\gcd(\phi(p_i^{\alpha_i}), \phi(p_j^{\alpha_j})) = 2; 1 \leq i < j \leq 2$ and $\gcd(l, p_i - 1) = 1$. In this paper it is shown that the explicit expression of $\theta_l(x)$ from the ring $\frac{F_l[x]}{x^m - 1}$ is sufficient to obtain all Gaussain periods over l -cyclotomic cosets modulo m for $m = p_1 p_2$. In Theorems 2.5, it is shown that for computation of all irreducible factors of $x^m - 1$, $m = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2}$ over F_l ; α_1, α_2 are positive integers, it is sufficient to compute all irreducible factors of $x^{p_1 p_2} - 1$. Therefore, in this paper we obtain the irreducible factors of $x^{p_1 p_2} - 1$ over F_l with the help of the Gaussian periods.

Keywords: Cyclotomic cosets; Minimal polynomials; Gaussian periods; Primitive idempotents; λ -mapping.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). 11A07; 12E20; 11T55.

I. Introduction

The factorization of $x^m - 1$ over a finite field F_l is a problem of much interest. In coding theory, the irreducible factors of $x^m - 1$ over F_l are used in error correcting codes, secure communication, deterministic simulation of random processes and digital tracking system (see [4]). Since each irreducible factor can be used to generate a minimal cyclic code (see [1], [9]), therefore many authors have obtained the irreducible factors of $x^m - 1$ over F_l under different conditions to compute the minimum distance and the weight distribution of cyclic codes of length m . When $p \nmid (l - 1)$, Chen et al. [2] showed that the irreducible factors of $x^{2lp^n} - 1$ over F_l are either binomials or trinomials. For a positive integer m , Martinez et al. [8] investigated that $x^m - 1$ can be written as a product of irreducible polynomials of the form $x^t - a$ or $x^{2t} - ax^t + b$ over F_l . Li and Cao [7] showed that the factors of $x^{2apbrc} - 1$ over F_l , where p and r are odd prime divisors of $(l - 1)$, are either binomials or trinomials. In [11] Wu et al. factorized $x^m - 1$ over F_l , where $\text{rad}(d)$ does not divide $(l - 1)$ and $\text{rad}(d) \mid (l^w - 1)$; w prime. They also counted the number of irreducible factors.

If $\eta_s(x) = \prod_{s \in C_s^{m(l)}} (x - \xi^s)$ is the minimal polynomial corresponding to the cyclotomic cosets $C_s^{m(l)}$ where ξ is a primitive m th root of unity and

$O(C_s^{m(l)}) = n$. Then $\eta_s(x) = x^n - \beta_1 x^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^n \beta_n$ where β_i are sum of the products of ξ taken i at a time.

Therefore, to compute β_i we need the sum of the form $\sum_{i \in C_s^{m(l)}} \xi^i$, where s runs over each cyclotomic cosets. As Gaussian period corresponding to $C_s^{m(l)}$ is denoted by $\sigma_s(\xi)$, where

$$\sigma_s(\xi) = \sum_{i \in C_s^{m(l)}} \xi^i, \text{ therefore the different } \sigma_s(\xi) \text{ are used to evaluate the}$$

coefficients of $\eta_s(x)$.

Throughout the paper F_l is a finite field of order l and p_1, p_2 are distinct primes where l as a primitive root modulo $p_i^{\alpha_i}$, $\gcd(\phi(p_i^{\alpha_i}), \phi(p_j^{\alpha_j})) = 2$ and $\gcd(l, p_i - 1) = 1$. In this paper, all the irreducible factors of $x^{p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2}} - 1$, α

$x^{p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2}} - 1$ are obtained by using the irreducible factors of $x^{p_1} - 1$, $x^{p_1 p_2} - 1$. Further in Lemma 2.7 it is shown that to obtain all the irreducible factors of $x^{p_1 p_2} - 1$ and $x^{p_1 p_2 p_3} - 1$ over F_l , the explicit expression of primitive idempotent

$\theta_1^{p_1 p_2}(x)$ (from $\frac{F_l[x]}{\langle x^{p_1 p_2} - 1 \rangle}$) is useful.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some definitions are given and the irreducible factors of $x^{p_1^{\alpha_1}} - 1$ over F_l are obtained. If $f(x) = \sum_{s \in C_s^{m(l)}} (x - \xi^s)$, where $C_s^{m(l)}$ is a l -cyclotomic coset modulo m and ξ is a primitive m th root of unity, then the coefficient of x^i in $f(x)$ is of the form

$\sum_{s \in C_s^{m(l)}} \xi^{s i}$ (see [10]), where $t_{k,i}$ are solutions of $x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k = i$ in $C_s^{m(l)}$, i runs over each l -cyclotomic coset modulo m and $\sigma_i(\xi) = \sum_{s \in C_s^{m(l)}} \xi^s$.

Algorithm 2.10 is given to compute the irreducible factors of $x^{p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2}} - 1$ over F_l .

II. Factorization of $x^{p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2}} - 1$ over F_l

In this section we obtain all irreducible factors of $x^{p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2}} - 1$ over F_l . First we give some definitions and results which are used throughout the paper.

Denote the l -cyclotomic coset modulo m containing s ; $0 \leq s \leq m - 1$ by

$$C_s^{m(l)} = \{s, sl, sl^2, \dots, sl^{t-1}\}, \text{ where } t \text{ is the smallest positive integer such that } sl^t \equiv s \pmod{m}. \text{ The } O(C_s^{m(l)}) \text{ denote the order of } C_s^{m(l)}.$$

Corresponding to each $C_s^{m(l)}$ there exist an irreducible factor of $x^m - 1$ defined as $\eta_s^m(x) = \prod_{s \in C_s^{m(l)}} (x - \xi^s)$, where ξ is a primitive m th root of unity. It is also shown

that the factorisation of $x^{p_1^{\alpha_1}} - 1$ over F_l is trivial.

Definition 2.1 λ -mapping (Definition 2.2 [5]). Let $A_1 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, p_1 - 1\}$, $A_2 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, p_2 - 1\}$ and $A = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, p_1 p_2\}$. Then the mapping $A_1 \times A_2 \rightarrow A$ defined by $\lambda(a_1, a_2) = a_1 p_2 + a_2 p_1 \pmod{p_1 p_2}$ is called a λ -mapping.

Definition 2.2 (Primitive idempotent [1]) Let $R_m = \frac{F_l[x]}{x^m - 1}$ be a semisimple ring. The primitive idempotent corresponding to $C_1^{m(l)}$ denoted by $\theta_1^m(x)$ is given by $\theta_1^m(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \epsilon_i x^i$, where $\epsilon_i = \sum_{s \in C_1^{m(l)}} \xi^{-s i}$.

As l is a primitive root modulo $p_1^{\alpha_1}$, therefore, there are two l -cyclotomic cosets $C_0^{p_1^{\alpha_1}(l)}$ and $C_1^{p_1^{\alpha_1}(l)}$ modulo p_1 . If $x^{p_1^{\alpha_1}} - 1 = \eta_0(x) \eta_1(x)$, where $\eta_1(x)$ is the minimal polynomial of a primitive p_1 th root of unity, $x^{p_1^{\alpha_1}} - 1 = \eta_0(x) \prod_{i=0}^{\alpha_1-1} \eta_1(x^{p_1^{\alpha_1-i-1}})$. then

Theorem 2.3 The

Proof. Since l is a primitive root modulo $p_1^{\alpha_1}$, there are $n + 1$ cyclotomic cosets modulo $p_1^{\alpha_1}$, namely, $C_0^{p_1^{\alpha_1}(l)}$ and $C_i^{p_1^{\alpha_1}(l)}$; $0 \leq i \leq \alpha_1 - 1$.
1. Let β be a primitive p_1 th root of unity. Then $x^{p_1^{\alpha_1}} - 1 = \eta_0^*(x) \prod_{i=0}^{\alpha_1-1} \eta_{p_1^i}^*(x)$, where

$\eta_{p_1^i}^*(x)$ is the minimal polynomial of $\beta^{p_1^i}$. Let $\delta = \beta^{p_1^i}$. Then $\delta^{p_1^{\alpha_1-i-1}}$ is a

primitive p_1 th root of unity. If $\eta_1(x)$ is the minimal polynomial of $\delta^{p_1^{\alpha_1-i-1}}$, then

$\eta_1(x^{p_1^{\alpha_1-i-1}})$ is the minimal polynomial of δ . Consequently, $\eta_{p_1^i}^*(x) = \eta_1(x^{p_1^{\alpha_1-i-1}})$. Hence $x^{p_1^{\alpha_1}} - 1 = \eta_0(x) \prod_{i=0}^{\alpha_1-1} \eta_1(x^{p_1^{\alpha_1-i-1}})$. $\diamond$

Note 2.4 It is easy to see that when l is a primitive root modulo $p_1^{\alpha_1}$, then $\eta_0(x) = x - 1$ and $\eta_1(x) = 1 + x + x^2 + \dots + x^{p_1-1}$. $\diamond$

We now compute the irreducible factors of $x^{p_1 p_2} - 1$ over F_l under the following conditions: For $1 \leq i \leq 2$, (i) l is a primitive root modulo $p_i^{\alpha_i}$ (ii)

$$\gcd(\phi(p_1^{\alpha_1}), \phi(p_2^{\alpha_2})) = 2 \quad (\text{iii}) \quad \gcd(l, p_i - 1) = 1. \text{ Then, by Lemma 2 [6], the}$$

order of l modulo $p_1 p_2$ i.e. $O_{p_1 p_2}(l) = \frac{\phi(p_1 p_2)}{2}$. Therefore, there are five l -cyclotomic cosets

$C_0^{p_1 p_2(l)}$, $C_1^{p_1 p_2(l)}$, $C_a^{p_1 p_2(l)}$, $C_{p_1}^{p_1 p_2(l)}$ and $C_{p_2}^{p_1 p_2(l)}$ modulo $p_1 p_2$, where a is not congruent to $l \pmod{p_1 p_2}$.

Therefore, if $x^{p_1 p_2} - 1 = \eta_0(x) \eta_1(x) \eta_a(x) \eta_{p_1}(x) \eta_{p_2}(x)$, where $\eta_1(x) \eta_a(x)$ is a product of minimal polynomials of β

Proof. The $2^{\alpha_1\alpha_2+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+1}l$ -cyclotomic cosets modulo $p_1^{\alpha_1}p_2^{\alpha_2}$, are (See Theorem 1[6]), $C_0^{p_1^1p_2^2(l)}$, $C_{p_1^1p_2^2}^{p_1^1p_2^2(l)}$, $C_{ap_1^ip_2^j}^{p_1^1p_2^2(l)}$, $C_{p_1^ip_2^{\alpha_2}}^{p_1^1p_2^2(l)}$ and $C_{p_1^{\alpha_1}p_2^j}^{p_1^1p_2^2(l)}$; $0 \leq i \leq \alpha_1-1, 0 \leq j \leq \alpha_2-1$. Then $x^{p_1^{\alpha_1}p_2^{\alpha_2}} - 1 = \eta_0(x) \prod_{(i,j)=(0,0)}^{(\alpha_1-1,\alpha_2-1)} \eta_{p_1^ip_2^j}^*(x) \eta_{ap_1^ip_2^j}^*(x) \prod_{i=0}^{(\alpha_1-1)} \eta_{p_1^ip_2^{\alpha_2}}^*(x) \prod_{j=0}^{(\alpha_2-1)} \eta_{p_1^{\alpha_1}p_2^j}^*(x)$; $\eta_s^*(x)$ is the minimal polynomial corresponding to $C_s^{p_1^{\alpha_1}p_2^{\alpha_2}(l)}$.

Let γ be a primitive $p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2}$ th root of unity. Then, $\eta_{p_1^{i_1} p_2^{j_1}}^*(x) \eta_{p_1^{i_2} p_2^{j_2}}^*(x)$ is a product of minimal polynomials of $\gamma^{p_1^{i_1} p_2^{j_1}}$ and $\gamma^{p_1^{i_2} p_2^{j_2}}$. Choose $\delta = \gamma^{p_1^{i_1} p_2^{j_1}}$ and $\delta^a = \gamma^{p_1^{i_2} p_2^{j_2}}$. Then δ and δ^a are primitive $p_1^{a_1-i_1-1} p_2^{a_2-j_1-1}$ th root of unity. Therefore, the product of their minimal polynomials is $\eta_1(x) \eta_a(x)$.

Consequently, $\eta_1(x^{p_1^{\alpha_1-i-1} p_2^{\alpha_2-j-1}}) \eta_a(x^{p_1^{\alpha_1-i-1} p_2^{\alpha_2-j-1}})$ is a product of minimal polynomials of δ and δ^a . Hence $\eta_{p_1^i p_2^j}^*(x) \eta_{ap_1^i p_2^j}^*(x) = \eta_1(x^{p_1^{1-i-1} p_2^{2-j-1}})$. The minimal polynomial of $\gamma^{p_1^i p_2^{\alpha_2}}$ is $\eta_{p_1^i p_2^{\alpha_2}}^*(x)$. If we take $\delta^* = \gamma^{p_1^i p_2^{\alpha_2}}$, then $(\delta^*)^{p_1^{\alpha_1-i-1}}$ becomes primitive p_1 th root of unity, therefore, $\eta_{p_2}(x) = (1 + x + \dots + x^{p_1-1})$ is its minimal polynomial. Equivalently, $\eta_{p_1 p_2^{\alpha_2}}^*(x) = \eta_{p_2}(x^{p_1^{\alpha_1-i-1}})$. Hence $\eta_{p_1 p_2^{\alpha_2}}^*(x)$ is the minimal polynomial of δ^* . Hence

$\eta_{p2}(x_{i-1})$ is the minimal polynomial of θ . Hence

Similarly, $\eta_{p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^j}^*(x) = \eta_{p_1}(x^{p_2^{\alpha_2-j-1}}) \diamond$

Lemma 2.6 Let $B = \{s_1+s_2+...+s_k|s_j \text{ are distinct elements of } C_s^{p_1p_2(l)}\}$ has $t_{k,i}$ solutions of $x_1 + x_2 + ... + x_k = i; i = 0$ or 1 or a or p_1 or $p_2; 1 \leq$

$k \leq O(C_s^{p_1 p_2(l)}) - 1$. Then $C_i^{p_1 p_2(l)}$ appears $t_{k,i}$ times in B . Moreover, if

$\text{Ps} \in Csp1p2(l)$ $s = 0$, then, in B , $tk, i = tO(Csp1p2(l)) - k, -i$.

Proof. Let $x_1 = s_1, x_2 = s_2, \dots, x_k = s_k$ be a solution of $x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k = i$, then

$$s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_k = i$$

Equivalently,

$$s_1lv + s_2lv + \dots + s_klv = ilv; 0 \leq v \leq O(Csp_1p_2(l)) - 1 \quad (1)$$

In (1), the left hand side is a sum of elements of $C_s^{p_1 p_2(l)}$ taking k at a time while right hand side is $C_i^{p_1 p_2(l)}$, therefore, for each solution of $x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k = i$, the $C_i^{p_1 p_2(l)}$ appears $t_{k,i}$ times in B .
If,

$$s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_k + s_{k+1} + \dots + s_{O(C_s^{p_1 p_2(l)})} = 0; s_i \in C_s^{p_1 p_2(l)}$$

and, if $s_1+s_2+\dots+s_k = i$, then, from above equation, $s_{k+1}+\dots+s_{O(Csp_1p_2(l))} =$

$-i$. Equivalently, $tk, i = tO(Csp_1p_2(l)) - k, -i$. $\diamond$

Lemma 2.7 Let $\sigma_i(\xi) = \prod_{s \in Csp_1p_2(l)} \xi^{s_i}$ and, let p_1 be of $4k + 1$ type and p_2 be of $4k + 3$ type. Then $\sigma_1(\xi) = \frac{1-\sqrt{-p_1p_2}}{2}$, $\sigma_a(\xi) = \frac{1+\sqrt{-p_1p_2}}{2}$, $\sigma_{p_1}(\xi) = -1$, $\sigma_{p_2}(\xi) = -1$ and $\sigma_0(\xi) = 1$. Further, if p_1 and p_2 both are of $4k+3$ type, then

$\sigma_1(\xi) = \frac{1+\sqrt{-p_1p_2}}{2}$, $\sigma_a(\xi) = \frac{1-\sqrt{-p_1p_2}}{2}$, $\sigma_{p_1}(\xi) = -1$, $\sigma_{p_2}(\xi) = -1$ and $\sigma_0(\xi) = 1$. **Proof.** By Definition 2.2, in θ_1 , $\epsilon_i = \sum_{s \in C_1^{p_1p_2(l)}} \xi^{-si} = \sum_{s \in -C_1^{p_1p_2(l)}} \xi^{si} = \frac{O(C_1^{p_1p_2(l)})}{O(C_i^{p_1p_2(l)})} \sigma_{-i}(\xi)$. Therefore,

$$\sigma_{-i}(\xi) = (\epsilon_i) \frac{O(C_i^{p_1p_2(l)})}{O(C_1^{p_1p_2(l)})} \quad (2)$$

We now discuss two cases:

(i) When p_1 is $4k+1$ type and p_2 is $4k+3$ type. Then $-C_1^{p_1p_2(l)} = C_a^{p_1p_2(l)}$, $\epsilon_1 = \frac{1+\sqrt{-p_1p_2}}{2}$, $\epsilon_a = \frac{1-\sqrt{-p_1p_2}}{2}$, $\epsilon_{p_1} = -\frac{\phi(p_1)}{2}$, $\epsilon_{p_2} = -\frac{\phi(p_2)}{2}$ and $\epsilon_0 = \frac{\phi(p_1p_2)}{2}$ (for the values of ϵ_i see Theorem 3[1]). Therefore, by (2) $\sigma_1(\xi) = \frac{1-\sqrt{-p_1p_2}}{2}$, $\sigma_a(\xi) = \frac{1+\sqrt{-p_1p_2}}{2}$, $\sigma_{p_1}(\xi) = -1$, $\sigma_{p_2}(\xi) = -1$ and $\sigma_0(\xi) = 1$.

(ii) p_1 and p_2 both are of $4k + 3$ type. Then, $-C_1^{p_1p_2(l)} = C_1^{p_1p_2(l)}$, $\epsilon_1 = \frac{1+\sqrt{-p_1p_2}}{2}$, $\epsilon_a = \frac{1-\sqrt{-p_1p_2}}{2}$, $\epsilon_{p_1} = -\frac{\phi(p_1)}{2}$, $\epsilon_{p_2} = -\frac{\phi(p_2)}{2}$ and $\epsilon_0 = \frac{\phi(p_1p_2)}{2}$. Again by (2) $\sigma_1(\xi) = \frac{1+\sqrt{-p_1p_2}}{2}$, $\sigma_a(\xi) = \frac{1-\sqrt{-p_1p_2}}{2}$, $\sigma_{p_1}(\xi) = -1$, $\sigma_{p_2}(\xi) = -1$ and $\sigma_0(\xi) = 1$. $\diamond$

Theorem 2.8 The $\eta_s(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{O(C_s^{p_1p_2(l)})} (-1)^k a_k x^{O(C_s^{p_1p_2(l)})-k}$, where

$$a_k = \begin{cases} t_{k,0} + t_{k,1} \frac{1-\sqrt{-p_1p_2}}{2} + t_{k,a} \frac{1+\sqrt{-p_1p_2}}{2} - t_{k,p_1} - t_{k,p_2} & ; p_1 = 4k + 1 \text{ and } p_2 = 4k + 3 \\ t_{k,0} + t_{k,1} \frac{1+\sqrt{-p_1p_2}}{2} + t_{k,a} \frac{1-\sqrt{-p_1p_2}}{2} - t_{k,p_1} - t_{k,p_2} & ; p_1 = 4k + 3 \text{ and } p_2 = 4k + 3 \end{cases}$$

Proof. The $\eta_s(x) = \sum_{s \in Csp_1p_2(l)} (x - \xi^s)$, where ξ is a primitive p_1p_2 th root of unity. Since the order of $C_s^{p_1p_2(l)}$ is $O(C_s^{p_1p_2(l)})$, the degree of $\eta_s(x)$ is

$\sum_{k=0}^{O(C_s^{p_1p_2(l)})} (-1)^k a_k x^{O(C_s^{p_1p_2(l)})-k}$, where a_k is a sum of products of its roots taking k at a time. Therefore, a_k is a sum of terms of form $\xi^{s_1+s_2+\dots+s_k}$; $s_i \in Csp_1p_2(l)$. Then, by Lemma 2.6, $ak = tk,0\sigma_0(\xi) + tk,1\sigma_1(\xi) + tk,a\sigma_a(\xi) + tk,p_1\sigma_{p_1}(\xi) + tk,p_2\sigma_{p_2}(\xi)$. By Lemma 2.7, the

$$a_k = \begin{cases} t_{k,0} + t_{k,1} \frac{1-\sqrt{-p_1p_2}}{2} + t_{k,a} \frac{1+\sqrt{-p_1p_2}}{2} - t_{k,p_1} - t_{k,p_2} & ; p_1 = 4k + 1 \text{ and } p_2 = 4k + 3 \\ t_{k,0} + t_{k,1} \frac{1+\sqrt{-p_1p_2}}{2} + t_{k,a} \frac{1-\sqrt{-p_1p_2}}{2} - t_{k,p_1} - t_{k,p_2} & ; p_1 = 4k + 3 \text{ and } p_2 = 4k + 3 \end{cases} \diamond$$

Remark 2.9 (i) Trivially, $\eta_0(x) = x - 1$, $\eta_{p_1}(x) = 1 + x + \dots + x^{p_2-1}$ and $\eta_{p_2}(x) = 1 + x + \dots + x^{p_1-1}$.

(ii) If p_1 and p_2 both are of $4k + 3$ form, then $-C_1^{p_1p_2(l)} = C_1^{p_1p_2(l)}$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.6, we have to compute $t_{k,i}$ for $1 \leq k \leq \frac{\phi(p_1p_2)}{4}$ as

$$tk,i = t\phi(p_1p_2)=k,i$$

4

a. Algorithm to compute the irreducible factors of $x^{p_1p_2}-1$

We have following algorithm to compute the $\eta_s(x) = \sum_{s \in C} \sum_{p_1p_2} (x - \zeta^s)$.

Step 1. Compute $B = \{s_1+s_2+\dots+s_k | s_j \text{ are distinct elements of } C_s^{p_1p_2(l)}\}$ Step 2. Compute $t_{k,i}$, where $t_{k,i}$ are number of solutions of $x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k = i; i = 0 \text{ or } 1 \text{ or } a \text{ or } p_1 \text{ or } p_2$

in B .

Step 3. Compute a_k as discussed in Theorem 2.8.

$$l \quad \eta_s(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{O(C_s^{p_1p_2(l)})} (-1)^k a_k x^{O(C_s^{p_1p_2(l)})-k}$$

Step 4. The.

Step 5. Stop.

III. Example

Example In this example we find all the irreducible factors of $x^{35}-1$ over F_{17} . The 5 distinct 17-cyclotomic cosets modulo 35 are $C_0^{35}, C_1^{35}, C_2^{35}, C_5^{35}$ and C_7^{35} . As 17 is a primitive root modulo both 5^{a_1} and 7^{a_2} , therefore, we compute the irreducible factors of $x^{35}-1$ over F_{17} . Let γ be a primitive 35th root of unity. As order of 17 modulo 35 is 12, we need to compute $a_k; 1 \leq k \leq 11$. By Lemma 2.7, $\sigma_0(\gamma) = 1, \sigma_1(\gamma) = 7, \sigma_2(\gamma) = 11, \sigma_5(\gamma) = -1$ and $\sigma_7(\gamma) = -1$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.6, $t_{1,0} = 0, t_{1,1} = 1, t_{1,2} = 0, t_{1,5} = 0, t_{1,7} = 0$, and $a_1 = \sigma_1(\gamma) = 7, t_{2,0} = 0, t_{2,1} = 2, t_{2,2} = 1, t_{2,5} = 3, t_{2,7} = 3$, and $a_2 = t_{2,0}\sigma_0(\gamma) + t_{2,1}\sigma_1(\gamma) +$

$$t_{2,2}\sigma_2(\gamma) + t_{2,5}\sigma_5(\gamma) + t_{2,7}\sigma_7(\gamma) = 2, t_{3,0} = 0, t_{3,1} = 6, t_{3,2} = 7, t_{3,5} = 6, t_{3,7} = 7, \text{ and } a_3 = t_{3,0}\sigma_0(\gamma) + t_{3,1}\sigma_1(\gamma) +$$

$$t_{3,2}\sigma_2(\gamma) + t_{3,5}\sigma_5(\gamma) + t_{3,7}\sigma_7(\gamma) = 4, t_{4,0} = 15, t_{4,1} = 12, t_{4,2} = 15, t_{4,5} = 16, t_{4,7} = 15, \text{ and } a_4 = t_{4,0}\sigma_0(\gamma) +$$

$$t_{4,1}\sigma_1(\gamma) + t_{4,2}\sigma_2(\gamma) + t_{4,5}\sigma_5(\gamma) + t_{4,7}\sigma_7(\gamma) = 12, t_{5,0} = 24, t_{5,1} = 22, t_{5,2} = 25, t_{5,5} = 20, t_{5,7} = 21, \text{ and } a_5 = t_{5,0}\sigma_0(\gamma) +$$

$$t_{5,1}\sigma_1(\gamma) + t_{5,2}\sigma_2(\gamma) + t_{5,5}\sigma_5(\gamma) + t_{5,7}\sigma_7(\gamma) = 4, t_{6,0} = 38, t_{6,1} = 26, t_{6,2} = 26, t_{6,5} = 27, t_{6,7} = 25, \text{ and } a_6 = t_{6,0}\sigma_0(\gamma) +$$

$$t_{6,1}\sigma_1(\gamma) + t_{6,2}\sigma_2(\gamma) + t_{6,5}\sigma_5(\gamma) + t_{6,7}\sigma_7(\gamma) = 12, t_{7,0} = 24, t_{7,1} = 25, t_{7,2} = 22, t_{7,5} = 20, t_{7,7} = 21, \text{ and } a_7 = t_{7,0}\sigma_0(\gamma) +$$

$$t_{7,1}\sigma_1(\gamma) + t_{7,2}\sigma_2(\gamma) + t_{7,5}\sigma_5(\gamma) + t_{7,7}\sigma_7(\gamma) = 9, t_{8,0} = 15, t_{8,1} = 15, t_{8,2} = 12, t_{8,5} = 16, t_{8,7} = 15, \text{ and } a_8 = t_{8,0}\sigma_0(\gamma) +$$

$$t_{8,1}\sigma_1(\gamma) + t_{8,2}\sigma_2(\gamma) + t_{8,5}\sigma_5(\gamma) + t_{8,7}\sigma_7(\gamma) = 0, t_{9,0} = 0, t_{9,1} = 7, t_{9,2} = 6, t_{9,5} = 6, t_{9,7} = 7, \text{ and } a_9 = t_{9,0}\sigma_0(\gamma) + t_{9,1}\sigma_1(\gamma) +$$

$$t_{9,2}\sigma_2(\gamma) + t_{9,5}\sigma_5(\gamma) + t_{9,7}\sigma_7(\gamma) = 0, t_{10,0} = 0, t_{10,1} = 1, t_{10,2} = 2, t_{10,5} = 3, t_{10,7} = 3, \text{ and } a_{10} = t_{10,0}\sigma_0(\gamma) +$$

$$t_{10,1}\sigma_1(\gamma) + t_{10,2}\sigma_2(\gamma) + t_{10,5}\sigma_5(\gamma) + t_{10,7}\sigma_7(\gamma) = 6, t_{11,0} = 0, t_{11,1} = 0, t_{11,2} = 1, t_{11,5} = 0, t_{11,7} = 0, \text{ and } a_{11} = t_{11,0}\sigma_0(\gamma) +$$

$$t_{11,1}\sigma_1(\gamma) + t_{11,2}\sigma_2(\gamma) + t_{11,5}\sigma_5(\gamma) + t_{11,7}\sigma_7(\gamma) = 11,$$

Hence

$$\eta_1^{35}(x) = x^{12} - 7x^{11} + 2x^{10} - 4x^9 + 12x^8 - 4x^7 + 12x^6 - 9x^5 + 6x^2 - 11x + 1,$$

Since the roots of η_2^{35} are reciprocal of roots of η_1^{35} , therefore,

$$\eta_2^{35}(x) = x^{12} - 11x^{11} + 6x^{10} - 9x^7 + 12x^6 - 4x^5 + 12x^4 - 4x^3 + 2x^2 - 7x + 1.$$

Further, by Remark 2.9(i), it is easy to see that

$$\eta_5^{35}(x) = x^6 + x^5 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1,$$

$$\eta_7^{35}(x) = x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1, \text{ and}$$

$$\eta_0^{35}(x) = x - 1. \text{ Hence } x^{35} - 1 = (x-1)(x^{12} - 7x^{11} + 2x^{10} - 4x^9 + 12x^8 - 4x^7 + 12x^6 - 9x^5 + 6x^2 - 11x + 1)(x^{12} - 11x^{11} + 6x^{10} - 9x^7 + 12x^6 - 4x^5 + 12x^4 - 4x^3 + 2x^2 - 7x + 1)(x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1)(x^6 + x^5 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1).$$

$$\text{By Theorem 2.5, } x^{5^{\alpha_1} 7^{\alpha_2}} - 1 = (x - 1) \prod_{(i,j)=(0,0)}^{(\alpha_1-1, \alpha_2-1)} (x^{12(5^{\alpha_1-i-1} 7^{\alpha_2-j-1})} -$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& 7x^{11}(5a^{1-i-17a2-j-1})+2x^{10}(5a^{1-i-17a2-j-1})-4x^9(5a^{1-i-17a2-j-1})+12x^8(5a^{1-i-17a2-j-1})- \\
& 4x^7(5a^{1-i-17a2-j-1})+12x^6(5a^{1-i-17a2-j-1})-9x^5(5a^{1-i-17a2-j-1})+6x^2(5a^{1-i-17a2-j-1})- \\
& 11x(5a^{1-i-17a2-j-1})+1)(x^{12}(5a^{1-i-17a2-j-1})-11x^{11}(5a^{1-i-17a2-j-1})+6x^{10}(5a^{1-i-17a2-j-1})- \\
& 9x^7(5a^{1-i-17a2-j-1})+12x^6(5a^{1-i-17a2-j-1})-4x^5(5a^{1-i-17a2-j-1})+12x^4(5a^{1-i-17a2-j-1})- \\
& 4x^3(5a^{1-i-17a2-j-1})+2x^2(5a^{1-i-17a2-j-1})-7x(5a^{1-i-17a2-j-1})+1)Q_{j=0}^{a-1}(x^4(7a2-j-1)+ \\
& x^3(7a2-j-1)+x^2(7a2-j-1)+x^7a2-j-1+1)Q_{a-i=0}^{a-1}(x^6(5a^{1-i-1})+x^5(5a^{1-i-1})+x^4(5a^{1-i-1})+x^3(5a^{1-i-1})+ \\
& x^2(5a^{1-i-1})+x^5a^{1-i-1}+1).
\end{aligned}$$

References

- [1]. G.K. Bakshi and M. Raka, Minimal cyclic codes of length p^nq , *Finite Fields Appl.* **9** (2003) 432-448.
- [2]. B. Chen, L. Li and R. Tuerhong, Explicit factorization of $x^{2mpn}-1$ over a finite field, *Finite Fields Appl.* **24** (2013) 95-104.
- [3]. P. Devi and P. Kumar, Cyclotomic cosets and primitive idempotents in semisimple ring, *Commun. Algeb.* Doi 10.1080/00927872.2019.1570234.
- [4]. S.W. Golomb, *Shift register sequences*, (Holden-Day, Inc. San Francisco 1967).
- [5]. P. Kumar and S.K. Arora, λ -mapping and primitive idempotents in semisimple ring $\mathfrak{R}_m$, *Commun. Algeb.* **41** (2013) 3679-3694.
- [6]. P. Kumar, S.K. Arora and S. Batra, Primitive idempotents and generator polynomials of some minimal cyclic codes of length p^nq^m , *Int. J. Inform. Coding Theo.* **2** (2014) 191-217.
- [7]. F. Li and X. Cao, Explicit Factorization of $x^{2apbrc}-1$ over a finite field, *Int. J. Pure and Appl. Math.* **97** (2014) 67-77.
- [8]. F. Martinez, C. Vergara and L. Oliveira, Explicit Factorization of $x^n-1 \in F_q[x]$, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **77** (2015) 277-286.
- [9]. M. Pruthi and S.K. Arora, Minimal cyclic codes of prime power length, *Finite Fields Appl.* **3** (1997) 99-113.
- [10]. T. Storer, *Cyclotomy and Difference Sets*, (Markhan Publishing Company, Chicago 1967).
- [11]. Y. Wu, Q. Yue and S. Fan, Further factorization of x^n-1 over a finite field, *Finite Fields Appl.* **54** (2018) 197-215.